

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/501,424	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HEKSTRA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> CHIKAODILI E. ANYIKIRE	<b>Art Unit</b> 2621	

**All Participants:**

(1) CHIKAODILI E. ANYIKIRE.

(2) Thomas H. Ham.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 February 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

5, 6, 8, and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The interview discussed what the examiner believed at the time of his initial search and findings as allowable subject matter.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Chikaodili Anyikire/  
Patent Examiner AU 2621

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)